Page 1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 MASTER DOCKET 18-MD-2865 (LAK) CASE NO. 18-CV-09797 3 4 IN RE: 5 CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK 6 (SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND 7 SCHEME LITIGATION 8 9 10 11 12 13 REMOTE VTC VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UNDER ORAL 14 15 **EXAMINATION OF** SHAHAB HASHEMI 16 17 DATE: October 7, 2021 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 REPORTED BY: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, CCR

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	SHAHAB HASHEMI,
3	called as a witness, having been first
4	duly sworn according to law, testifies as follows:
5	* * * * *
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. OXFORD:
7	Q Good morning.
8	I guess it's afternoon where you
9	are, Mr. Hashemi?
10	A Just about, yes.
11	MR. BINDER: I'm sorry. Neil,
12	before we begin, I just want to for
13	the court reporter, we want to review
14	and sign this transcript. Thank you.
15	Q Just before I get to my questions,
16	Mr. Hashemi, I just have one objection to put
17	on the record.
18	ED&F Man produced to us late Friday
19	night some 60,000 pages of documents, about
20	11,000 documents, which appear to be accounts
21	from BNP and SEB, two of ED&F Man's
22	custodians or sub-custodians in this case.
23	We've been requesting these documents for at
24	least 18 months and have been told they
25	didn't exist.

1	ED&F Man Capital Markets is also
2	known as ED&F MCM?
3	A It is referred to as MCM.
4	Q Okay. So if I refer in my
5	questions to ED&F or ED&F MCM, I'm referring
6	to the same entity, ED&F Man Capital Markets.
7	Do you understand that?
8	A I understand.
9	Q And the parent company of that
10	entity is ED&F Man Holdings, Limited.
11	Correct?
12	A I believe the ultimate parent to be
13	ED&F Man Holdings.
14	Q And ED&F used to have an affiliate
15	they operated in Dubai.
16	Correct?
17	A Sorry? Could you ask the question
18	again?
19	Q Sure.
20	ED&F used to have an affiliate that
21	operated out of Dubai.
22	Correct?
23	A There was a subsidiary of the
24	ultimate parent that operates in Dubai.
25	Q And that was known as MPT Dubai or

1	Man Professional Trading Dubai.
2	Correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay.
5	A I believe the entity was ED&F Man
6	Professional Trading Dubai.
7	Q Okay. So I'll refer to that as
8	"ED&F Dubai" or "MPT Dubai."
9	Will we be on the same page if I
10	describe it thus?
11	A Yes.
12	Q ED&F also
13	A Sorry, Mr. Oxford. I think
14	Mr. Binder was trying to say something.
15	MR. BINDER: MPT Dubai, not ED&F,
16	since it okay, let's just have, so as
17	not to confuse things. If you want to
18	refer to it in a shorthand, "MPT Dubai"
19	is how we refer to it. I think it
20	would I think it would be clearer
21	that way.
22	MR. OXFORD: Okay. Well, I have
23	the witness' answer. It seems pretty
24	clear to him.
25	A I would prefer if Mr. Oxford, I

1	would profer if you would refer to it as "MDT	
	would prefer if you would refer to it as "MPT	
2	Dubai. "	
3	Q Okay. I'll do my best. But when I	
4	refer to it as "ED&F Dubai," please	
5	understand that I'm asking you about	
6	MPT Dubai.	
7	0kay?	
8	MR. BINDER: Objection.	
9	Q Understood?	
10	A I would prefer if you could use	
11	MPT Dubai, but I understand what you said.	
12	Q Okay. Thank you.	
13	ED&F also had an affiliate in	
14	Switzerland called Volcafe.	
15	Correct?	
16	A There was a subsidiary of the	
17	ultimate parent company in Switzerland.	
18	Q Called Volcafe.	
19	Correct?	
20	A Called Volcafe, correct.	
21	Q Is Volcafe still operational today?	
22	A I don't know.	
23	Q Is MPT Dubai still operational	
24	today?	
25	A lalso don't know.	
1		

1	A An interdealer broker transacts
2	with other counterparties, but more
3	specifically, would source shares or
4	derivatives on instruction.
5	Q Did ED&F's interdealer broker ever
6	hold any proprietary positions 2012 through
7	2015?
8	MR. BINDER: Objection to form,
9	beyond the scope of his role as a
10	corporate representative for ED&F Man
11	Capital, Limited.
12	Q Do you know the answer? Yes or no,
13	sir?
14	A I don't know the answer.
15	Q Was Volcafe also an interdealer
16	broker?
17	A I believe it was.
18	Q Was MPT Dubai also an interdealer
19	broker?
20	A I do not believe MPT Dubai was an
21	interdealer broker.
22	Q What's that belief based on, sir?
23	A I have seen some prospective
24	documents of MPT Dubai.
25	Q Are you familiar with a service

1	Q Okay. We've talked about the fees
2	to the investment managers.
3	You're aware that one of the topics
4	you're designated on today is the fees by
5	ED&F Man in connection with the trading in
6	Danish shares?
7	A Yes, I recall the fees being one of
8	the topics.
9	Q Can you tell me generally how ED&F
10	structured the fees that it charged the
11	defendant plans in connection with the
12	trading in Danish shares?
13	A So, like many of its services, many
14	of its desks, ED&F Man charges a fee to its
15	clients, and for the services that it
16	provides.
17	And in this case, for the equity
18	finance desk, the services or the fees that
19	would be charged to the client would be for
20	execution services, custodian services, and,
21	for example, financing of the transactions.
22	Q So just picking up on the last part
23	of your answer, is it correct that ED&F
24	provided financing or lending to the
25	defendant plans so that they could make their

1	trades in Danish securities?
2	A Excuse me. One of the services the
3	equity finance desk provided was securities
4	financing to the pension plans.
5	Q So it lent money in essence, it
6	lent money to the plans so they could buy the
7	shares.
8	Correct?
9	MR. BINDER: Objection to form.
10	A ED&F Man would receive the funds
11	that were allocated from the group treasury
12	and use them as working capital to provide
13	the service of securities financing to the
14	pension plans to acquire shares.
15	Q Okay. And just in layman's terms,
16	because I'm a simple country lawyer, does
17	that mean that ED&F Man's equity finance desk
18	loaned or extended credit to the defendant
19	plans so that they could purchase the Danish
20	securities?
21	MR. BINDER: Objection, asked and
22	answered, vague.
23	A The equity finance desk used funds
24	that was allocated to them from the process
25	that I mentioned to provide securities

1	financing services to the pension plans.	
2	They acquired shares.	
3	Q And did those securities financing	
4	services, including include extending	
5	credit to the plans so they could buy the	
6	Danish shares?	
7	MR. BINDER: Objection to form.	
8	A I don't know.	
9	Q So you know the term "securities	
10	financing," but you don't know what security	
11	financing actually is?	
12	MR. BINDER: Objection, objection.	
13	Misstates testimony. It's also	
14	harassment.	
15	MR. OXFORD: It's not harassment.	
16	Q What's securities financing, sir,	
17	in your last answer? What does it mean?	
18	A It means providing the capital for	
19	the pension plans to acquire shares.	
20	Q So the pension plans don't have the	
21	money to buy shares, so ED&F Man provides	
22	that.	
23	Correct?	
24	A One of the services that ED&F Man	
25	provided was securities financing for the	

1	pension plans to acquire shares.	
2	Q Okay. But just again, I'm just	
3	trying to make sure we have a clear	
4	understanding, because you're a banker sir,	
5	and I'm just a simple lawyer.	
6	Does that mean that ED&F loaned	
7	money to the plans so they could buy the	
8	Danish shares?	
9	MR. BINDER: Objection to form.	
10	Objection to counsel testifying.	
11	MR. BLESSINGTON: Object as to	
12	form.	
13	A ED&F Man provided securities	
14	financing to the pension plans, Mr. Oxford.	
15	I don't know how else to say it, to acquire	
16	shares.	
17	Q How did it provide that financing	
18	to the plans?	
19	A Through the funds that were drawn	
20	down from group treasury, to provide it to	
21	acquire the shares.	
22	Q Okay. But that's kind of the	
23	source of where the funds came from.	
24	I'm asking how, mechanically, in	
25	the securities financing transaction, the	

1	ED&F Man provided financing to the plans?
2	A Of the financing that the equity
3	finance desk would receive, a budget, they
4	would allocate a portion of that as available
5	to the to each of the pension plans and
6	their trading structures.
7	Q Beyond that, do you have anything
8	to add to your answer?
9	A I do not, no.
10	Q Do you know the terms on which the
11	financing was provided by ED&F to the
12	defendant plans?
13	A So what do you mean?
14	Q Were there terms and conditions?
15	Did ED&F charge interest?
16	A So ED&F Man would pass through the
17	costs of drawing down those funds to
18	the to the pension plans.
19	Q So, in essence, ED&F would charge
20	interest to the plans on the securities
21	financing?
22	A They would charge interest that was
23	charged to them by group treasury.
24	Q Do you know offhand what that
25	interest rate was?

1	MR. BINDER: Objection to form.
2	A I do not, no.
3	Q Is it correct that, generally
4	speaking, ED&F charged the plans fees at two
5	stages of the Danish securities transactions?
6	Specifically they charged an "up-front" fee
7	and a "behind" fee.
8	Are you familiar with those terms?
9	A I'm familiar with the terms of an
10	"up-front" fee and a "behind" fee.
11	Q Are you familiar with those terms
12	in the context of ED&F's fees charged to the
13	defendant plans in this case?
14	A I'm sorry. Could you say that
15	again?
16	Q Sure.
17	Are you familiar with those terms
18	"up-front fee" and "behind fee" and how they
19	worked in the context of ED&F's fees charged
20	to the defendant plans in this case?
21	A I'm familiar with the up-front fees
22	and the behind fees charged to the pension
23	plans in the scope of this.
24	Q Okay. Can you tell me what you
25	know about that topic?

		i
1	A Of course. So my understanding is	
2	that the up-front fees were charged to the	
3	pension plans and covered the fees covered	
4	certain costs, such as exchange fees, and it	
5	covered I've seen, Mr. Oxford, in fee	
6	agreements that has reference to a custody	
7	fee.	
8	And the up-front fee is charged to	
9	the pension plans prior to the trading	
10	strategy maturing. The behind fee, as I	
11	understand it, is a fee that is charged to	
12	the pension plans following the trading	
13	strategy maturing, and any withholding tax	
14	that is received by the pension plan.	
15	Q Is it fair to say that the up-front	
16	fee that ED&F charged to the defendant plans	
17	was typically 1 percent of the gross	
18	dividend?	
19	A I believe the up-front fee varied,	
20	and it was on a yeah, I don't think it was	
21	constant as you're referring to it. And I	
22	believe it also, as it captured exchange	
23	fees, for example, it would have varied on a	
24	case-by-case basis.	
25	Q Okay. Do you have any	

1	understanding of what it varied between?
2	What the range of up-front fees was charged
3	to the various defendant plans?
4	A I don't know.
5	Q Is it fair to say that the behind
6	fee that ED&F charged the plans ranged from
7	27 to 50 percent of the pension plan's
8	transaction profit?
9	A From the spreadsheets that I saw, I
10	recall that the behind fees would be
11	calculated after the trading strategy
12	matured, as I mentioned, any withholding tax
13	to be received by the pension plans, and
14	covered all of the costs and associated
15	with it.
16	I don't know of the range,
17	Mr. Oxford. However, I don't recall seeing
18	any that exceeded 50 percent.
19	Q Okay. Can I ask you to turn to
20	Tab Exhibit 4151, please? First binder,
21	Tab 29?
22	A Okay.
23	Q Can I ask you to turn to Page 39 of
24	that document? And I direct your attention
25	to Paragraph 140.

	1 age 250	<u> </u>
1 2 3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK MASTER DOCKET 18-MD-2865 (LAK) CASE NO. 18-CV-09797	
4 5 6 7 8	IN RE: CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND SCHEME LITIGATION)	
9 10 11 12 13	CONFIDENTIAL	
14 15 16	REMOTE VTC VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UNDER ORAL EXAMINATION OF SHAHAB HASHEMI	
17 18 19	VOLUME II DATE: October 8, 2021	
20 21 22		
23 24 25	REPORTED BY: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, CCR	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	SHAHAB HASHEMI,,
4	called as a witness, having been first
5	duly sworn according to law, testifies as follows:
6	* * * * *
7	CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MR. OXFORD:
8	Q Good afternoon and good morning,
9	Mr. Hashemi.
10	Could you please turn back to
11	Binder 1, Tab 28, which is the Notice of
12	Deposition?
13	A Okay.
14	Q You can turn to Topic 23, please.
15	A Okay.
16	Q Topic 23 concerns Annex E.
17	Correct?
18	A So I missed the beginning of your
19	sentence.
20	Q Topic 23 concerns Annex E.
21	Correct?
22	A I can see that, yes.
23	Q Great.
24	Tell me what you did to prepare
25	yourself on this topic.

1	Mr. Oxford?
2	Q It's just a general question.
3	ED&F Man's position is that Annex E
4	references tax vouchers that are inaccurate.
5	I'm asking for your understanding,
6	as a corporate representative on a notice
7	topic here, whether you have any
8	understanding of what those inaccuracies are?
9	A The inaccuracies are that the tax
10	vouchers in Annex E were incorrectly
11	produced.
12	Q Can you explain what you mean,
13	"incorrectly produced?"
14	A What I mean is that they should not
15	have been produced.
16	Q Why should they not have been
17	produced?
18	A Because they are inaccurate.
19	Q In what sense are they inaccurate?
20	A Could you repeat the question,
21	please?
22	Q In what sense are they inaccurate?
23	A Because they were inaccurate
24	because the pension plans weren't due a
25	dividend from the company.

1	had, right?
2	MR. OXFORD: Understood, clear, no
3	suggestion to the contrary. I think we
4	
	just were trying to clear up
5	certain basically version control
6	between the version we had marked
7	yesterday and a version of the same
8	document, which I freely acknowledge was
9	negotiated and drafted between counsel
10	for SKAT in England and counsel for
11	ED&F Man in England.
12	(Whereupon the above mentioned was
13	marked for Identification.)
14	Q Okay. So with that violate
15	agreement in place, can you take a look,
16	please, at Exhibit 4430, Mr. Hashemi?
17	A Okay. I have it in front of me.
18	Q Can you confirm that is, in fact,
19	the version of the that is, in fact, a
20	version of the draft Schedule of Agreed Facts
21	that you reviewed in preparation for your
22	deposition?
23	A (Witness reviewing.)
24	Yes, it appears to be the version
25	that I had reviewed.

1	Q Okay. Are you aware of any
2	incorrect statements in this document?
3	A (Witness reviewing.)
4	I'm not aware of any incorrect
5	statements in this document.
6	Q Okay. So, from ED&F's point of
7	view, the statements in here, you believe,
8	are accurate?
9	A This document reflects ED&F Man's
10	position.
11	Q Okay. Thank you. That's helpful.
12	So can I ask you just to quickly
13	turn to Paragraphs 20 and 2 sorry, 21 and
14	22, which are on Page 6 of the document?
15	A Okay.
16	Q And the heading is "B-5 Contractual
17	Documentation."
18	Do you see that?
19	A I do.
20	Q And then it lists five agreements
21	that were withdrawn.
22	It says, "In relation to the
23	services provided by ED&F Man, ED&F Man and
24	each pension plan or GP."
25	Do you know what the reference to

1	"GP" is?
2	A believe it's Gibraltarian
3	partnerships.
4	Q "In relation to the services
5	provided by ED&F Man, ED&F Man on the one
6	hand, and on the other, each pension plan or
7	Gibraltarian partnership executed or agreed
8	to the terms of the following documents," and
9	then it lists a custody agreement, the
10	security and setoff date, the ISDA agreement,
11	the fee agreement letter, and ED&F Man's
12	terms of business.
13	Do you see that?
14	A I do see that.
15	Q And then 22 goes on to say that
16	"some but not all pension plans also executed
17	a global master securities lending agreement
18	with ED&F Man."
19	Do you see that?
20	A I do see that.
21	Q All right. I take it from your
22	general comments that that those
23	statements in 21 and 22 are accurate from
24	ED&F Man's perspective?
25	A I believe them to be accurate.

		i
1	Q And that's a complete list of the	
2	agreements governing the relationship between	
3	ED&F Man and the defendant pension plans?	
4	MR. BINDER: Objection to form.	
5	A (Witness reviewing.)	
6	I believe them to be a list of	
7	agreements executed between ED&F Man and each	
8	of the pension plans.	
9	Q Okay. And do you believe it to be	
10	a complete list?	
11	MR. BINDER: Objection to form.	
12	A I'm not sure. I don't know.	
13	Q Okay. New topic.	
14	Are you familiar with the term	
15	"payment agent," sir, in the context of this	
16	case?	
17	A Could you can you explain to me	
18	what you mean by "payment agent?"	
19	Q Yeah, sure. I'm just referring to	
20	the agent of the pension plan that submitted	
21	reclaim applications to SKAT.	
22	A Okay. I think I know the term "tax	
23	reclaim agent."	
24	Q Okay. We're talking about the same	
25	thing.	

1	A From my preparations for this
2	deposition, I understand that when the
3	withholding tax was paid, this was either
4	received by ED&F Man from the tax reclaim
5	agent, which I understand is the more common
6	way.
7	I believe occasionally it was
8	received from SKAT directly, and sometimes it
9	went direct to the pension plans.
10	Q Okay. And when it went direct to
11	the pension plans, did it go to their pension
12	plan accounts at ED&F?
13	A I don't believe it was received via
14	ED&F Man.
15	Q Okay. And in the circumstances
16	where the withholding tax reclaim was
17	received by ED&F Man from the tax reclaim
18	agent, was ED&F involved in the distribution
19	of the proceeds of that reclaim?
20	MR. BINDER: Objection to form,
21	vague.
22	A When from the documentation that
23	I've seen, when the withholding tax was
24	received, it was credited in the pension
25	plans cash account.

1	says.
2	Q Okay. And then there's a
3	spreadsheet at the back.
4	Can you just take a moment to
5	review the spreadsheet and tell me whether
6	you've ever seen it before?
7	A Sorry. Where am I looking for the
8	spreadsheet?
9	Q It's attached to the letter, sir.
10	A So in this in the binder in
11	front of me
12	Q We even have it I'm told we
13	might even have it in a native Excel.
14	A Okay.
15	Q So this is Exhibit 4259.
16	A Okay. It is I have it open.
17	Q Great. Just take a moment and let
18	me know if you've ever seen this before.
19	A (Witness reviewing.)
20	MR. BINDER: I'm going to object
21	because you're showing this to him in a
22	format of an Excel. So, to the extent
23	he has he has seen this document in
24	the form it was attached to the letter,
25	I would I would just want the record

1	to be clear that he's viewing this in an
2	Excel format, not as it would have been
3	attached originally as a PDF or however
4	it was delivered.
5	A (Witness reviewing.)
6	MR. OXFORD: Mr. Binder, I can note
7	for the record that your objection is
8	without foundation. Because, as
9	provided to us, I understand there was
10	not a PDF, but an Excel file.
11	MR. BINDER: All I'm saying is
12	I if he has seen it, he'll have to
13	answer that. I don't know whether he
14	would have seen it in Excel or in PDF.
15	So it's just to the extent that the
16	formatting impacts his answer, I just
17	want that noted.
18	Mr. Hashemi, if you can answer
19	Mr. Oxford's question, if you're
20	familiar with this document?
21	A Yeah, I'm just going through the
22	tabs.
23	(Witness reviewing.)
24	Mr. Oxford, this spreadsheet looks
25	familiar, and it's difficult for me to

1	identify if I've seen this exact version,	
2	and but the contents of this spreadsheet	
3	look familiar to me.	
4	Q Okay. Can you tell us if	
5	this the data in this spreadsheet is	
6	accurate?	
7	A (Witness reviewing.)	
8	If it's the same spreadsheet that	
9	I've seen, I think it might be. I believe	
10	the data in this document is accurate.	
11	Q Okay. So just looking are you	
12	on the first tab, sir, which I believe is	
13	Acer?	
14	A Yeah.	
15	Q Okay. Do you see there's a number	
16	of column headings about two-thirds of the	
17	way across the page. There's one called	
18	"Trading Loss on Share Acquisitions and	
19	Hedging Transactions."	
20	A Column I.	
21	Q Yes.	
22	A Yes, I see Column I.	
23	Q How was well, withdrawn.	
24	What information is contained in	
25	that column?	

1	vague.
2	A Mr. Kaplan, I don't know the answer
3	to your broad question. But if you'd like to
4	bring up a specific confirmation, I can try
5	and point it out to you.
6	Q We can do that. I'm trying to do
7	this quickly as per our earlier discussion.
8	Let me ask you this.
9	Do you think a customer of ED&F Man
10	who receives a confirmation from ED&F Man can
11	rely on that confirmation as being accurate?
12	MR. BINDER: Objection.
13	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
14	A I don't know.
15	Q And do you have any knowledge as
16	to withdrawn.
17	You've identified various tax
18	vouchers earlier and there were discussions.
19	And again, I'm trying to do this quickly.
20	You know what I mean by "the tax
21	voucher."
22	Correct?
23	A I do know what you're referring to
24	when you say "tax vouchers."
25	Q And if I understood your testimony

1	earlier, you said that those tax vouchers
2	were prepared by ED&F Man?
3	A Those tax vouchers were prepared by
4	ED&F Man.
5	Q Do you believe that a customer
6	is can rely on tax vouchers prepared by
7	ED&F Man?
8	MR. BINDER: Objection, beyond the
9	scope of the notice topics.
10	A I don't know.
11	Q Okay. Do you know whether or not
12	the former ED&F Man associated persons or
13	people who worked at the equity finance desk
14	received severance?
15	MR. BINDER: Objection.
16	A I don't know.
17	Q Are you aware of what the financial
18	arrangement was prior to the closing of the
19	equity financing desk between the various
20	people who worked at the desk and ED&F Man?
21	A In line with the other desks in
22	ED&F Man, there was a P&L for the equity
23	finance desk.
24	Q Did you say "CMO?"
25	A Sorry. No. Let me start again.



Via US Mail

John McGoey, Esq. Hughes Hubbard & Reed One Battery Park Plaza New York, NY 10004

> IN RE: Re:

> > **CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF**

THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK

(SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND

SCHEME LITIGATION

MASTER DOCKET 18-MD-2865 (LAK)

CASE No. 18-CV-09797

Dear Mr. McGoey;

In connection with the deposition taken on October 7, 2021 & October 8, 2021 in the above mentioned matter; attached please find the signed errata sheets as executed by Shahab Hashemi.

If you need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely,

Victoria Jones

cc:

Counsel of Record with Attachments